

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

GOINES, .  
Plaintiff, .  
vs. . Case No. 22-cv-04560  
CELSIUS NETWORK, LLC, et al., . Newark, New Jersey  
Defendants. . January 30, 2024  
. .

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: MOTION TO WITHDRAW  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD S. KIEL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES (the parties appeared via teleconference):

For the Plaintiff: JOHN T. JASNOCH, ESQ.  
Scott+Scott  
600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 233-4565  
Jjasnoch@scott-scott.com

SEAN T. MASSON, ESQ.  
Scott+Scott  
The Helmsley Building  
230 Park Ave, 17th Floor  
New York, NY 10169  
(212) 519-0522  
Smasson@scott-scott.com

Audio Operator:

Transcription Service: KING TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES, LLC  
3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203  
Riverdale, NJ 07457  
(973) 237-6080

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

1 (APPEARANCES continued)

2 For the Defendant JONATHAN OHRING, ESQ.  
3 Alexander Yankwitt LLP  
Mashinsky: 140 Grand Street, Suite 705  
White Plains, NY 10601  
(914) 686-1500  
jonathan@yankwitt.com

5  
6 For the Defendant CONSTANTINE ECONOMIDES, ESQ.  
7 Harumi Dynamis LLP  
Urata-Thompson: 1111 Brickell Avenue, 10th Floor  
Miami, FL 33131  
(305) 985-2959  
Ceconomides@dynamisllp.com

9  
10 For the Defendant LEIGH NATHANSON, ESQ.  
Kristine Meehan King & Spalding LLP  
s/h/a Kristine 1185 Avenue of the Americas  
Mashinsky: 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 790-5359  
lnathanson@kslaw.com

12  
13  
14 T.J. SCRIVO, ESQ.  
15 King & Spalding LLP  
16 1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
17 New York, NY 10036  
(212) 556-2179  
tscrivo@kslaw.com

18  
19 For the Defendant WILLIAM ROSS, ESQ.  
Wintermute Trading Morrison Cohen LLP  
Ltd.: 909 Third Avenue  
20 New York, NY 10022  
(212) 735-8600

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Commencement of proceedings)

3 THE COURT: -- 22-cv-04560.

4 Is anybody on for plaintiffs?

5 Okay. Anybody on for the Yankwitt law firm, is it?

6 Mr. Ohring.

7 MR. OHRING: Yes. This is John Ohring,  
8 Yankwitt LLP. Good morning.

9 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

10 Anybody -- let me just check again. Anybody on for  
11 plaintiffs? No. Okay --

12 (Simultaneous conversation)

13 MR. MASSON: Plaintiff's counsel for the Goines  
14 matter is here. Sean Masson.

15 MR. JASNOCH: John Jasnoch also with Scott+Scott is  
16 here.

17 | THE COURT: Okay.

18 There was a consolidated complaint that I guess  
19 changed the name of the caption to Kaplan versus Mashinsky.  
20 Right?

21 MALE SPEAKER: Yes, it's the same case.

22 | THE COURT: Yeah, it's the same case.

23 All right. Let me see. Is Alex Mashinsky on?

24 | MR. MASHINSKY: Yes, I'm on the call.

THE COURT: Okay.

1           And are any of the other parties themselves on the  
2 call or representatives of the parties?

3           MR. ECONOMIDES: This is Constantine Economides of  
4 Dynamis LLP. I'm counsel for defendant Harumi  
5 Urata-Thompson.

6           THE COURT: Okay --

7           (Simultaneous conversation)

8           THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

9           MS. NATHANSON: Sorry. This is Leigh Nathanson  
10 from King & Spalding for defendant Kristine Meehan.

11           MR. SCRIVO: And, good morning, Your Honor.  
12 T.J. Scrivo, also King & Spalding, on behalf of defendant  
13 Meehan.

14           THE COURT: All right.

15           Kristine Meehan is also designated as Kristine  
16 Mashinsky on the docket, as I recall. All right?

17           MS. NATHANSON: That's correct.

18           THE COURT: Okay. All right.

19           Anyone else on the call?

20           MR. ROSS: Good morning, Your Honor. You've got  
21 William Ross from Morrison Cohen on behalf of Wintermute  
22 Trading Ltd.

23           THE COURT: Okay. All right.

24           That sounds like everybody.

25           So let me just clarify some things with Mr. Ohring.

1                  You filed a motion to withdraw as counsel for  
2 Alexander Mashinsky, AM Ventures Holding Inc., and Koala1  
3 LLC.

4                  But on the docket, I see you as having entered an  
5 appearance on behalf of Kristine Mashinsky, Harumi  
6 Urata-Thompson, and Jeremie Beaudry as well.

7                  Are you -- are you seeking to withdraw as to them  
8 as well?

9                  MR. OHRING: So that is -- I think that's not a  
10 correct entry on the docket. We have never entered  
11 appearances on behalf of any of the individuals you just  
12 mentioned.

13                  The only clients we have ever represented in this  
14 action are Mr. Mashinsky, AM Ventures Holding, and Koala1.

15                  So I think -- there's -- if that is showing  
16 up on the docket, I think that was probably not correctly  
17 annotated by the clerk's office.

18                  THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, yeah, that's what I have  
19 on the docket.

20                  I know that you filed a notice of appearance for  
21 AM, Koala1 and the other -- let me see -- and for  
22 Mr. Mashinsky.

23                  Yeah, on the docket, I think what happened was that  
24 when you filed your motion to extend time and also motion to  
25 stay, I think they grouped everybody together who had signed

|Hearing: Motion to Withdraw  
|22-cv-04560, January 30, 2024

6

1 the notices, and that includes Urata-Thompson and those  
2 others.

3 So -- okay. So just those three you have.

4 All right.

5 Mr. Mashinsky, you're on the call; right?

6 MR. MASHINSKY: Yes.

7 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't receive any response or  
8 any of opposition from you.

9 Are you intending to oppose this motion?

10 MR. MASHINSKY: No, I do not, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. I saw that you had been served.

12 There is a proof of service of all the papers at ECF  
13 Number 95.

14 So I will resolve the motion today, although there  
15 were papers filed in support of the motion to withdraw his  
16 appearance, the papers cite to New York rules of professional  
17 conduct and New Jersey court rules. Those are the wrong  
18 rules to cite to. We have our own local rules on the  
19 withdrawal of counsel; that's pursuant to Local Rule 102.1.  
20 Although Mr. Ohring failed to do any analysis of our local  
21 rule or any of the case law behind it, I will take a look  
22 at -- I took a look at it, and I'm ready to resolve it.

23 So pursuant to Local Civil Rule 102.1, unless other  
24 counsel is substituted, withdrawal of counsel requires leave  
25 op court. Rusinow v. Kamara, 920 F. Supp. 69, 71 (D.N.J.

1       1996). In deciding whether to permit an attorney to  
2 withdraw, the Court should consider, first, the reasons while  
3 withdrawal is sought; second, the prejudice withdrawal may  
4 cause to other litigants; third, the harm withdrawal might  
5 cause to the administration of justice; and, four, the degree  
6 to which withdrawal will delay the resolution of the case.  
7 Ultimately, whether to grant withdrawal is within the Court's  
8 discretion. Id. at page 71. Further, the Court may refuse  
9 to permit an attorney to withdraw, despite a showing of good  
10 cause. Haines v. Liggett Group, 814 F. Supp. 414, 423  
11 (D.N.J. 1993).

12           So, first, the reason for the withdrawal is the  
13 failure of these defendants to meet their financial  
14 obligation as to counsel. At this very early stage of the  
15 case, that is valid to withdraw. I do not expect lawyers to  
16 work for free. And it doesn't appear that Mr. Mashinky's --  
17 who's the only party on the call, is going to object to the  
18 withdrawal.

19           Second, there's no prejudice to the plaintiff if  
20 counsel is to withdraw -- I'm sorry -- there is no prejudice  
21 to the parties if counsel is to withdraw. No party has filed  
22 any objection to it. And it appears everybody does not --  
23 all the parties do not oppose this case. And as I said, it's  
24 very early in the case.

25           Third, and for the reasons stated before, there

|Hearing: Motion to Withdraw  
|22-cv-04560, January 30, 2024

8

1 will be no prejudice to the administration of justice.

2                  Fourth, withdrawal of the case [sic] at this early  
3 stage of the litigation will not substantially delay  
4 resolution of the case. I entered an order very recently  
5 staying discovery in this case, so it is very early stages of  
6 the case, and there has been no discovery.

7                  So, therefore, I will provide 30 days for the  
8 corporate defendants to obtain new counsel. After that,  
9 plaintiff can file for default as to those.

10                And Mr. Alex Mashinsky will be considered  
11 proceeding pro se.

12                I will not further -- I will not schedule a further  
13 conference -- the stay, unless anybody has any thought about  
14 having a conference.

15                Does anybody have anything else today -- that I can  
16 help them with today?

17                MR. ECONOMIDES: Your Honor, this is Mr. Economides  
18 for defendant Ms. Urata-Thompson.

19                You just noted a stay of discovery, but I just  
20 wanted -- I guess, a point of calibration. The order appears  
21 to stay the entire case. And maybe it's a distinction  
22 without a difference, but I just wanted to make sure we don't  
23 have any disputes at the other side about it.

24                Our understanding is the entire case is stayed,  
25 including all motion practice.

1 Is that correct?

2 THE COURT: That is correct.

3 MR. ECONOMIDES: Thank you.

4 THE COURT: All right. So we will not have a  
5 further conference. I will enter the order.

6 Mr. Mashinsky, you'll be proceeding pro se, and the  
7 other corporate defendants will have 30 days to file their  
8 notice of appearance of a lawyer. Otherwise, a default can  
9 be moved for.

10 I will -- Mr. Ohring, I will endeavor to get the  
11 docket straight. And I will get you terminated on those  
12 other defendants.

13 All right, everyone. If there's nothing else, have  
14 a good day. We'll enter the order today.

15 We're off the record.

16 (Conclusion of proceedings)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

|Hearing: Motion to Withdraw  
|22-cv-04560, January 30, 2024  
|Certification

10

11 I further certify that I am in no way related to any of  
12 the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the  
13 outcome hereof.

14

15

16

17

18

19

S/ *Sara L. Kern*

13th of February, 2024

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sara L. Kern, CET\*\*D-338  
King Transcription Services, LLC  
3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203  
Riverdale, NJ 07457  
(973) 237-6080

Date